EXHIBIT C

```
1
           SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                     FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN
 2
                 CASE NO. S-1500-CV 279123 LHB
 3
     COLEEN M. PERRY,
                                                 PLAINTIFF
 4
 5
     VS.
 6
     HUNG T. LUU, M.D.,
                                                DEFENDANTS
     JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey
     Corporation; ETHICON, INC., a
 8
     New Jersey Corporation; and
     DOES 1-60,
 9
10
11
12
13
         The deposition of SCOTT A. GUELCHER, Ph.D.,
14
     called by the Defendants for examination, taken
15
     before Michelle E. Kerr, RPR, a Notary Public in and
     for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Daviess County, at
16
     1719 West End Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on
17
    December 18, 2014, commencing at 9:40 a.m.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	А	Yes. It's been registered with the secretary
2		of state.
3	Q	As I understand it from your testimony at
4		Huskey and other matters, you believe your
5		expertise is in the field of biomaterials
6		design?
7	A	That's one way of saying it. I have
8		expertise in biomaterials science and
9		engineering. Another way you could say it is
10		that my work involves design of materials for
11		use as bone grafts or skin grafts, design of
12		biomaterials as diagnostics for studying
13		cancer metastasis.
14	Q	You have a Ph.D., correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Any higher education than that?
17	A	I did a postdoctoral research training at
18		Carnegie Mellon in biomedical engineering.
19	Q	But that was not something for which a degree
20		was earned; is that correct?
21	А	It's not a degree, but it's postdoctoral
22		training. It counts as training.
23	Q	You're not a medical doctor, correct?
24	A	No, I'm not a medical doctor.
25	Q	You're not a pathologist?

1 ~	А	I'm not a pathologist.
2	Q	You don't treat any patients, correct?
3	А	I don't treat patients.
4	Q	You're not a toxicologist, correct?
5	А	I'm not a toxicologist.
6	Q	What is the difference between your expertise
7		and Dr. Dunn's expertise?
8	А	So Dr. Dunn and I have overlapping expertise
9		in polymer science and engineering. My
10		expertise is differentiated from Dr. Dunn's
11		in biomaterials, preclinical testing of
12		biomaterials, evaluation of biomaterials
13		using in vitro and in vivo models. Those
14		would be some examples of how my expertise is
15		differentiated from Dr. Dunn's.
16	Q	Is Dr. Dunn more of a polymer chemist than
17		you are?
18	А	I would not state it this way. I've had
19		extensive experience in polymer chemistry,
20		science and engineering. I've worked for
21		several companies in the area of polymers.
22		My postdoctoral training was in polymers for
23		bone scaffolds. And for the past ten years
24		at Vanderbilt, I've been working on polymers
25		and I taught and I developed and taught a

1		solution of 20 percent hydrogen peroxide with
2		cobalt chloride, and I don't remember the
3		exact amount. That's the solution.
4	Q	And this solution is used for the in vitro
5		testing of mesh?
6	А	This solution was first developed by Dr. Jim
7		Anderson in 1993. It was first published
8		his group published a number of papers on it.
9		I published two papers with it. It's used to
10		assess the degradation of biomaterials under
11		oxidative conditions that are similar to
12		those in the human body, more specifically,
13		that are similar to those under conditions
14		where there are adherent inflammatory cells
15		in the biomaterial, the foreign body
16		reaction, I should say, the effects of the
17		foreign body reaction on the stability of the
18		biomaterial.
19		It's a very general well-known
20		established test that's been cited dozens of
21		times.
22	Q	So did Ms. Talley or any of your other
23		graduate students do any in vitro testing on
24		the mesh?
25	A	No. As I said before, that testing was done

1	Q	My question is this. It's straight forward.
2		The fact that you see chemical oxidation,
3		that does not mean that you would also see
4		under SEM analysis physical degradation if
5		you were to look at that particular time; is
6		that correct?
7		MR. KUNTZ: Objection. Asked
8		and answered. Calls for speculation, and is
9		an incomplete hypothetical. But go ahead.
10	А	This is a speculative question. What I'm
11		saying is, if there is oxidative changes, the
12		body of literature teaches within a
13		reasonable degree of scientific certainty
14		that there will be at some time physical
15		degradation. That's what the literature is
16		teaching us.
17	BY MR.	SNELL:
18	Q	You keep saying at some time there will be
19		physical degradation. At what time will
20		there be physical degradation?
21	A_{α}	As I've said in my previous testimony, it's
22		unpredictable. And that's a problem for the
23		design of the device, because it's subject to
24		changes that can happen that you can't
25		predict the timing of these changes and what

1		the implications will be.
2	Q	Do you have an opinion as to what is the
3		earliest point in time where there can be
4		physical degradation of Ethicon's Prolene
5		polypropylene used in TVT Abbrevo?
6	А	Again, that's a speculative question. I
7		believe that upon implantation, the device
8		will be colonized by adherent inflammatory
9		cells. This is well-known in the literature,
10		the foreign body reaction. Those cells will
11		secrete species that oxidize it. The timing
12		of all these events can depend on a number of
13		factors, the nature of the inflammatory
14		response where it's implanted, the mechanical
15		stresses in the environment, whether there is
16		a bacterial infection.
17		The timing can be highly variable. It
18		can happen early or it can happen late. The
19		point is that it's unpredictable. That's
20		what I've been saying.
21	Q	Well, I would like to know what does the
22		literature teach you about the earliest point
23		in time when you can say there is physical
24		degradation of the Prolene polypropylene
25		mesh?

```
1
                 I don't want to rehash everything you
 2
            talked about in Huskey. I know you talked
 3
            about what was seen in two years and I
 4
            believe five or seven years in a dog study
 5
            and things like that. So with all of those
 6
            principles that you've already testified
 7
            about, let me just back up and re-ask it.
 8
        A
            Okay.
 9
                         MR. KUNTZ:
                                     Objection.
10
     BY MR. SNELL:
11
            What is the earliest point in time that you
        0
12
            can say that there is physical degradation of
13
            the Prolene polypropylene mesh?
14
        Α
            I just can't answer that question.
                                                  There are
15
            too many factors that can influence it.
16
            say -- again, it's too speculative.
17
            depends on many factors in addition to the
18
            chemical oxidation.
19
            Based on all of the literature that you saw,
        Q
20
            what was the earliest time reported that
21
            there was physical degradation of the Prolene
22
            polypropylene mesh?
23
            For Prolene polypropylene, I can say from the
       A
24
            Clave paper and the explants that were
25
            studied in Clave, he recorded degradation in
```

			•
	1		Abbrevo?
	2	А	I have not.
	3	Q	Have you done any testing of any type on TVT
	4		product for stress incontinence? And when I
	.5		stay TVT, I mean Ethicon's particular TVT
	6		product.
	7	A	So only the testing performed at Dr. Dunn's
	8		laboratory. Just to be clear, Dr. Dunn did
	9		that testing. I consulted and advised. We
	10		discussed it, agreed to do it, but Dr. Dunn
	11		physically performed the testing.
	12	Q	Tell me what testing did Dr. Dunn do on an
	13		Ethicon TVT device. As I had read and
	14		I'll tell you why I'm asking. As I had read
	15		your Huskey deposition testimony, he had done
	16		some testing on maybe one or more AMS meshes
	17		and Boston Scientific meshes.
	18	A	These are new testing that we've done.
	19	Q	Let me just back up then. So as I understand
	20		it, Dr. Dunn has done some testing on Ethicon
	21		TVT products?
	22	A	Yes.
	23	Q	Are you relying on that testing for your
	24		opinions in the Perry case?
	25	A	Let me look at my opinions for a minute.
Ĺ			

1		Yes, I am relying on that testing. So I
2		should say, I formed my opinions based on the
3		literature review. My opinions are the same
4		as they were in the Huskey case on this
5		particular topic of oxidation and
6		degradation, and this testing further
7		confirms my opinions.
8		And the testing was specifically done to
9		answer the question that Ethicon raised
10		during the trial in August, that Prolene is
11		different from polypropylene and doesn't
12		oxidize because it has antioxidants.
13		So in the testing done by Dr. Dunn, the
14		goal was to answer the question can Prolene
15		in a TVT device oxidize and degrade. And we
16		saw oxidation and degradation of the surface
17		pitting in that testing, in the oxidative
18		medium that I was describing earlier. So the
19		testing was performed to answer a very
20		specific question of and to answer the
21		specific question of can the Prolene
22		polypropylene oxidize. That was the purpose
23		of the test.
24	Q	Where is this testing, all of the notebooks,
25		the results, the data generated from it that

```
1
            you are relying on?
 2
        Α
            So this is on the disk that was provided.
 3
            Okay. Show me where on the disk that that is
        0
 4
            this TVTG testing is located.
 5
        A
            I don't have a computer but --
 6
        Q
            Can you use Mr. Kuntz'?
 7
                          MR. KUNTZ:
                                      He can.
 8
                Let's go off the record for a second.
 9
                          (Off-the-record discussion.)
10
     BY MR. SNELL:
11
        Q
            Counsel is looking at the thumb drive.
12
            Obviously, I can't look at it and question
13
            the witness about 6,000 files today.
14
            just get some basic information about this
15
            testing.
16
                The testing that was performed on
17
            Ethicon's TVT mesh, what specific device or
18
            devices were the subject of the testing?
19
            I believe it was the TVT.
        A
20
        0
            The original TVT retropubic?
21
            I believe so. And we also tested an
        A
22
            unstabilized polypropylene controlled, it had
            no antioxidant.
23
24
        Q
            Okay. You said it was an unstabilized
            Prolene polypropylene?
25
```

	1	А	No. It's polypropylene without antioxidants.
	2		So it would be the equivalent of in the
	3		Liebert paper where they tested the
	4		monofilament with no stabilizers. It's a
	5		polypropylene that has no antioxidants. So
	6		it's unstabilized polypropylene I would call
	7		it.
	8	Q	So you didn't test the TVT retropubic mesh
	9		with antioxidants to the TVT retropubic mesh
	10		with antioxidants?
	11	А	No, we can't get TVT without the the TVT
	12		is made from Prolene that has that Prolene
	13		antioxidant package, because that's what we
	14		tested, that's what we could get. So we had
	15		that exemplar, Dr. Dunn had it, and we
	16		compared that to the unstabilized
	17		polypropylene. We also tested two Boston
	18		Scientific meshes, but that's not in the
	19		materials that we presented. That's
	20		different.
	21	Q	You are not relying on this Boston Scientific
	22		testing for your opinions in this matter,
	23		correct?
	24	A	I am not.
	25	Q	Okay.
П			

1	А	I am just disclosing what we did.
2	Q	This TVT retropubic device that Dr. Dunn
3		tested, was it one single device or was it a
4		batch or numerous ones?
5	A	I believe it was one device with three
6		replicate pieces, three distinct pieces cut
7		from it was three or four. I can't
8		remember the details. I would have to look
9		at it. But there were multiple replicates
10		cut from the same mesh.
11	Q	And the unstabilized polypropylene control,
12		where was that obtained from?
13	А	I would have to look at the document to look
14		at the documents for the exact source, but it
15		was purchased from a third-party vendor that
16		sells polypropylene with antioxidants,
17		unstabilized polypropylene.
18	Q	Do you know the vendor?
19	A	I can't remember. It's in the documents. I
20		would have to find it.
21	Q	Do you know who purchased this control?
22	А	Dr. Dunn purchased it and did all of this
23		work.
24	Q	You personally were not the one who did any
25		of this testing on the TVT retropubic device,

1		correct?
2	А	No. As I said previously, Dr. Dunn and I
3		consulted, and Dr. Dunn did all of the work
4		physically through his company.
5	Q	So am I correct that you did not do any of
6		the physical testing of this TVT or the
7		control?
8	А	That's right. Dr. Dunn did.
9	Q	And that was done at his company?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Was that testing done out of his house?
12	А	I don't know. Maybe some of it was done from
13		his house. I don't remember.
14	Q	Do you know where the testing took place on
15		this TVT retropubic compared to the
16		polypropylene control?
17	А	It was done in his lab at Vanderbilt.
18	Q	Who paid for the testing that Dr. Dunn
19		performed comparing the TVT retropubic to the
20		unstabilized polypropylene control?
21	А	I should clarify that all of these responses
22		I'm telling you to the best of my knowledge.
23		And if Dr. Dunn contradicts what I'm saying,
24		it's because I didn't remember it correctly.
25		I believe that this testing was billed to the

1		litigation, but Dr. Dunn would have to
2		confirm that.
3	Q	Is your basis for your testimony in that
4		regard something that Dr. Dunn told you?
5	А	Yes, I'm basing it on I have not seen
6		those invoices. That would be between
7		Dr. Dunn and plaintiff's counsel.
8	Q	Did Dr. Dunn physically do all of his
9		testing?
10	А	Again, I believe that he did, but I don't
11		know the details of he would be the one
12		that would have to speak to that.
13	Q	Unfortunately, he is not identified as an
14		expert here.
15	А	I understand that.
16	Q	Were you present for any of the physical
17		testing of the TVT retropubic or the
18		unstabilized polypropylene control?
19	A	Was I present?
20	Q	Present meaning on the premises where the
21		testing was performed, such that you could
22		yourself observe the testing.
23	А	Well, the testing was just very simple.
24		Dr. Dunn placed the I'm trying to answer
25		your question as best I can. So Dr. Dunn

	1	placed the specimens in vials. They were
	2	weighted down with glass beads in this
	3	oxidative medium that I was describing that
	4	simulates the environment between the
	5	adherent inflammatory cells and the
	6	biomaterial. I have seen those vials.
	7	And then at different time points,
	8	Dr. Dunn removed the test specimens, rinsed
	9	and dried them, and measured RI spectra. And
1	0	I've seen those dried specimens. I've seen
1	1	the specimens, and so I have seen aspects of
1	2	the testing, but I didn't watch him do the
1	3	testing. But the testing essentially
1	4	involves incubating the material in a
1	5	solution, and then taking it out and testing
1	6	it by FTIR and SEM.
1	7 Q	When was this testing on the TVT retropubic
1	8	device done?
1	9 A	September and October of 2014.
2	0 Q	And it was on a single TVT retropubic
2	1	exemplar, meaning that mesh had not been in
2	2	the body at all?
2	3 A	That's correct.
2	4 Q	When did you first discuss with Dr. Dunn this
2	5	testing on the TVT retropubic exemplar?
1		

1	A	Same time frame. Maybe August it would
2		have been September of 2014 after the Huskey
3		trial. And, again, the motivation for the
4		tests was based on Ethicon's statements
5		during trial that we had not tested it and
6		couldn't we could not say definitively
7		that Prolene polypropylene oxidizes, and that
8		was the motivation for the test.
9		So this is what was said in Huskey trial,
10		we decided to do the test to answer that
11		specific question, can Prolene polypropylene
12		oxidize.
13	Q	Now, Dr. Dunn's Vanderbilt lab, is that on
14		the premises here at Vanderbilt?
15	А	Yes, his lab is at Vanderbilt.
16	Q	Do you know if any graduate students or
17		other people were involved in the testing?
18	А	Dr. Dunn has employees. I know that. To
19		what extent they were involved in the
20		testing, I can't speak to. Again, Dr. Dunn
21		just did all of his. I don't know those
22		details.
23		I should qualify my comment. Dr. Dunn
24		does not have employees, but I know that he
25		does pay contractors for services like he

	1	А	But the questions are being phrased that
	2		you're trying to misrepresent my testimony
	3		and misrepresent what I'm saying.
	4	Q	I'm not trying to misrepresent your
	5		testimony.
	6	А	You are.
	7	Q	I'm asking you a factual question.
	8	А	And the question is
	9	Q	Was there a horse in the room at the time of
	10		the test, yes or no? No.
	11		Was there a macrophage in the test, yes
	12		or no?
	13		The interpretation, I will get to that,
	14		but I have simple questions, sir, and I'm
	15		entitled to simple answers if they're simple
	16		questions. You can talk to Mr. Kuntz all
	17		night long about your interpretation. That's
	18		fine. But I'm actually going to ask you
	19		about your interpretation too.
	20	A	And I'm entitled to answer questions as I
	21		need to. And I'm not going to be put into
	22		this difficult position of having things
	23		recorded as my testimony that's not what I've
	24		ever been saying.
	25	Q	You would agree that let me back up. What
-11			

1		is it that you believe this test on this
2		single TVT device compared to the control
3		shows?
4 2	A	I believe that it shows Prolene polypropylene
5		used to manufacture the TVT device can
6		oxidize and degrade under oxidative
7		conditions similar to those experienced in
8		the human body after implantation.
9 Ç	Q	What documents or files out of those 6,000
10		plus show the oxidation?
11 2	A	The oxidation is evidenced by FTIRs spectra
12		that were measured in weeks zero, one, two,
13		three, four and five. In the FTIRs spectra,
14		we saw minimal hydroxl and carbonyl peaks
15		until week five, where we saw a significant
16		increase in the magnitude of the hydroxl
17		and/or carbonyl peaks, which was indicative
18		of a chemical induction.
19 Ç	Q	So what are the file names and the documents
20		that showed this out of the 6,000?
21 P	A	I don't remember the file names.
22 Ç	Q	Well, I'm entitled to know them.
23	A	I know. And I have to look at it. I don't
24		have it here with me. I know that you're
25		entitled to have it, but I don't have it here

1		Dr. Iakovlev's, but I don't know.
2	Q	And you understand that doctors are the ones
3		who actually do differential diagnoses and
4		draw conclusions about what complications
5		patients have?
6	А	Could you explain differential diagnosis,
7		please?
8	Q	Let me ask you, do you know what a
9		differential diagnosis is?
10	А	Not precisely, I don't thinK. So I suppose I
11		wouldn't do that. I mean, it sounds like a
12		medical term to me.
13	Q	Opinion number nine, explain that opinion to
14		me. Clearly, this is different.
15	A	So after reviewing all of the Ethicon
16		documents and some published papers, my
17		conclusion was that this TVT Abbrevo mesh is
18		stiffer. There are several e-mails from
19		inventors of the mesh, such as Dr. Della
20		Valle, Dr. Nelson, that observed this
21		increase in stiffness, complained about an
22		increase in complications, asserted that this
23		mesh was different, and you could not rely
24		upon TVT machine-cut mesh data to support the
25		notion that TVT Abbrevo is the same.

1 do those calculations now, and I review them. 2 There are software programs that you can use 3 to do this. It's pretty routine, I think. 4 The software plugs in the number of tests and Q 5 the time points and it generates --6 A We can do this with software, yeah. 7 Q You haven't published or presented on this 8 test, correct, that was done with the TVT 9 device and the pellet control? 10 A We presented it at the AICHE annual meeting. 11 And I think those slides are on the reliance 12 list. 13 Q For the TVT? 14 A In that presentation, we did not identify the 15 source of the mesh. 16 MR. KUNTZ: I don't think that's 17 on there, Burt. We will get it to you, 18 though. We called it mesh 1, 2 and 3. We did not 19 A 20 identify it as TVT. 21 BY MR. SNELL: 22 0 Do you know if it was TVT or would you have 23 to go back and look? 24 A Yes, it was TVT. We chose not to disclose 25 that at that meeting.

1	Q	Where was this at?
2	А	The AICHE, it's the American Institute of
3		Chemical Engineers. If you would like, I can
4		circle it on my CV. Would that help you?
5	Q	Sure. That's fine. Or if you just want to
6		look at your CV and tell me what page or
7		number.
8	А	That's fine too. On the CV, it's
9		presentation number 154.
10	Q	Was that a presentation that you actually
11		gave and presented or did someone else do it?
12	А	Dr. Dunn and I both gave the presentation.
13	Q	Was it presented orally?
14	А	It was.
15	Q	Okay. So I would like to request a copy of
16		that.
17		Did you have to prepare a manuscript in
18		connection with that?
19	А	No. We submitted a short abstract, which is
20		available online. We elected not to submit
21		an extended abstract.
22	Q	What was the reason why you didn't submit an
23		extended abstract?
24	A	We typically don't do that for that meeting.
25	Q	Is that the only presentation you have made

1	Q	And just so I'm clear, you didn't conduct any
2		type of differential diagnosis to assess the
3		cause of dyspareunia or pain or the potential
4		causes, correct?
5	А	No.
6	Q	You didn't rule out any other cause or
7		potential causes?
8	А	I didn't rule out any other causes.
9	Q	And you didn't investigate the rates of
10		dyspareunia or pain in the general background
11		and compare them to these cohorts?
12	А	I did not.
13	Q	And in Clave, it's fair to state that one
14		cannot say that the complications did not
15		occur before the degradation; is that right?
16	А	It's not clear from Clave the timing of those
17		events. My opinion is that these changes in
18		the mesh led to those events. The mesh
19		changed and there was an adverse event.
20	Q	And the adverse events are also you mention
21		on items number eight and nine, extrusions,
22		inflammation, pain, and you mention erosions
23		on nine, correct?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	You didn't not do any differential diagnoses

1 on those, correct? 2 A No. 3 Q You didn't assess causation by ruling in or 4 ruling out different causes, correct? 5 No, I didn't do that. A 6 Q In the testing that Dr. Kammerer did we 7 talked about earlier, where in the first 8 5 percent of elongation of the mesh, the 9 mechanical-cut and the laser-cut were 10 similar, do you dispute that finding? 11 I don't dispute the finding that of the very A 12 low elongation. They are similar but --13 That's my question. Q Okay. 14 A Yeah. Okay. Did you look at the clinical expert report 15 0 16 that was done by two medical doctors at 17 Ethicon with regard to the laser-cut mesh and 18 elongation? I think I reviewed that document, but I can't 19 \mathbf{A} 20 remember what it said right now. Did that document affect your opinions? 21 Q I would have to look at it again to see what 22 A 23 it says. I don't remember. Did you consider whether either of those 24 Q 25 doctors had any experience implanting slings

```
STATE OF KENTUCKY
                          )
1
2
    COUNTY OF DAVIESS
3
4
        I, MICHELLE E. KERR, A NOTARY PUBLIC AT LARGE IN
5
    AND FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, DO HEREBY
7
    CERTIFY:
        THAT SAID DEPOSITION WAS TAKEN STENOGRAPHICALLY
    AND ELECTRONICALLY BY ME AND THAT THE TYPEWRITTEN
9
    TRANSCRIPT ABOVE IS A TRUE RECORD OF THE
10
    TESTIMONY GIVEN; THAT I ALSO RECORDED AND
11
    TRANSCRIBED ANY AND ALL OBJECTIONS MADE BY COUNSEL
12
    AND THE REASONS THEREFORE; AND THAT I AM NOT A
13
    RELATIVE OR EMPLOYEE OR ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL OF ANY
14
    OF THE PARTIES, NOR A RELATIVE OR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH
15
    ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY INTERESTED
16
17
    IN THIS ACTION.
18
19
        IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND
20
    AND AFFIXED MY NOTARIAL SEAL ON THIS _____ DAY OF
21
    DECEMBER, 2014.
22
23
                          MICHELLE E. KERR, NOTARY PUBLIC
24
    My Commission Expires:
25
        March 21, 2017
        March 21, 2017
```